arXiv:1512.08839v1 [math.AG] 30 Dec 2015

[1]

FUNDAMENTAL GROUP OF SOME GENUS-2 FIBRATIONS AND APPLICATIONS

R.V. GURJAR AND SAGAR KOLTE

ABSTRACT. We will prove that given a genus-2 fibration $f : X \to C$ on a smooth projective surface X such that $b_1(X) = b_1(C) + 2$, the fundamental group of X is almost isomorphic to $\pi_1(C) \times \pi_1(E)$, where E is an elliptic curve. We will also verify the Shafarevich Conjecture on holomorphic convexity of the universal cover of surfaces X with genus-2 fibration $X \to C$ such that $b_1(X) > b_1(C)$.

INTRODUCTION

Let X be a smooth projective surface and C a smooth projective curve over $\mathbb{C}$. Suppose that there exists a morphism $f : X \to C$ such that a general fiber is a smooth curve of genus 2. It was proved in [7] that for a genus two fibration with irreducible singular fibers and ample canonical divisor, the image of the map $i : \pi_1(F) \to \pi_1(X)$ is finite, where F is a general fiber. This was later generalized to hyperelliptic fibrations in [8]. Using this the Shafarevich Conjecture for such fibrations was proved. In this paper we will prove the following result.

Theorem. *Let $f : X \to C$ be a relatively minimal genus-2 fibration on a smooth projective surface X onto a smooth projective curve C. Suppose that $b_1(X) > b_1(C)$. Then we have the following assertions.*

(1) If f is not a C^∞-fiber bundle then one of the following holds:

(i)

$$\pi_1(X) \cong \pi_1(C) \times (\mathbf{Z} \oplus \mathbf{Z})$$

where $\mathbf{Z} \oplus \mathbf{Z}$ is the fundamental group of an elliptic curve.

(ii) $\pi_1(R) \times \pi_1(E_0)$ maps homomorphically to $\pi_1(X)$ with image of index at most 2, where R is a smooth projective curve with a two-to-one morphism to C and E_0 is a smooth elliptic curve. In this case, $\pi_1(X) \cong \Gamma_1 \times \pi_1(E_0)$, where Γ_1 is the image of the map $\pi_1(R) \to \pi_1(X)$.

(2) Suppose that f is a C^∞-fiber bundle.
If C is a rational curve then X is isomorphic to $C \times F$.

[1]Mathematics Subject Classification 2010, 14F35, 14H30
Key words and phrases. genus-2 fibrations, fundamental group, holomorphic convexity.

If C is an elliptic curve then X is isomorphic to a quotient of a trivial bundle $C' \times F$, where C' is an elliptic curve and F is a smooth curve of genus 2.

(3) If $b_1(X) = b_1(C) + 4$ then X is isomorphic to $C \times F$. In fact, if the genus of C is either 0 or > 2 then f is itself a trivial bundle.

Remarks. (a) If f is a C^∞-fiber bundle then we have the well-known exact sequence

$$\cdots \to \pi_2(X) \to \pi_2(C) \to \pi_1(F) \to \pi_1(X) \to \pi_1(C) \to (1).$$

If further the genus of $C > 0$ then $\pi_i(C) = (0)$ for $i > 1$.

(b) Part (1) of the theorem is the main result of this paper. The first part of (3) in the theorem is due to A. Beauville [3]. Presumably, the second part of (3) is well-known to experts. We prove in the preliminaries (see, Proposition) that if f is a relatively minimal genus-2 fibration which has at least one singular fiber then $b_1(X) \leq b_1(C) + 2$.
If on the other hand, if $b_1(X) = b_1(C) + 4$ then X is isomorphic to $C \times F$.

In the last section we will use the theorem to verify of the Shafarevich Conjecture for genus-2 fibrations such that $b_1(X) > b_1(C)$.

Corollary 1. *With the notation and assumptions of the theorem if $b_1(X) > b_1(C)$ then the universal covering space $\widetilde{X} \to X$ is holomorphically convex.*

Corollary 2. *With the notation and assumptions of the theorem if $b_1(X) > b_1(C)$ then the second homotopy group $\pi_2(X)$ is a free abelian group.*

Acknowledgements. The authors would like to thank the referee for reading the paper carefully, pointing out some inaccuracies and for suggesting improvements in the presentation.

1. PRELIMINARIES.

In this paper we will deal only with complex algebraic or complex analytic varieties.

We will start with some useful general results about fibrations on smooth projective surfaces.
By a component of a (possibly reducible and non-reduced) curve B we mean an irreducible component of B.
By a rational (elliptic) curve we mean a possibly singular irreducible curve whose desingularization has genus 0 (resp. 1).
A smooth projective rational curve with self-intersection $-n$ on a smooth projective surface is called a $(-n)$-curve.

Recall that an automorphism σ of finite order of a local analytic domain R is a *pseudo-reflection* if there is a minimal generating set $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_r$ for the maximal ideal of R such that $\sigma(x_i) = x_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq r-1$ and $\sigma(x_r) = \omega x_r$ for a suitable root of unity ω. We will implicitly use the well-known result of Shephard-Todd, Chevalley that if R is the power series ring and G is a finite group of automorphisms of R generated by pseudo-reflections then the ring of invariants R^G is again isomorphic to a power series ring [4].

Let $\varphi : Y \to B$ be a morphism on a smooth projective surface Y onto a smooth projective curve B of genus g such that a general fiber F of φ is irreducible. Let $m_1F_1, \ldots, m_rF_r$ be all the singular fibers of φ with multiplicities $m_i > 1$ for each i. Then there is a short exact sequence

$$\pi_1(F) \to \pi_1(Y) \to \Gamma \to (1)$$

where $\Gamma \cong < a_1, b_1, .., a_g, b_g, c_1, .., c_r | [a_1, b_1]...[a_g, b_g]c_1c_2...c_r = 1, c_1^{m_1} = ... = c_r^{m_r} = 1 >$ ([17], Lemma 2). Using this we see that the following natural sequence of homomorphisms is exact

$$H_1(F; \mathbf{R}) \to H_1(Y; \mathbf{R}) \to H_1(B; \mathbf{R}) \to (0).$$

Thus, $b_1(Y) \leq b_1(B) + b_1(F)$. On the other hand, A. Beauville has proved in [3] that if equality occurs then Y is birational to $B \times F$. In this case $\pi_1(Y)$ is isomorphic to $\pi_1(B) \times \pi_1(F)$.

We have the following useful result which proves part (3) of the theorem.

Proposition 1. *Let $f : W \to B$ be a relatively minimal genus-2 fibration on a smooth projective surface W onto a smooth curve B.*

(a) If f has a singular fiber then $b_1(W) \leq b_1(B) + 2$.

(b) If $b_1(W) = b_1(C) + 4$ then X is isomorphic to $C \times F$.
If the genus of C is either 0 or > 2 then f is a trivial bundle.

Proof. (a) We will first prove the result when genus of $B > 2$ and reduce the general case to this situation.
Let genus $B>2$. Suppose $b_1(W) = b_1(B) + 4$. By Beauville's result W is birational to a product $B \times F$ where F is a smooth projective surve of genus 2. There is a surface $\widetilde{W}$ obtained by a sequence of blow ups from W such that the induced birational map $\tau : \widetilde{W} \to B \times F$ is a morphism. Since $W \to B \times F$ is defined outside a finite set of points, a general fiber F_0 of f is also a general fiber of $\tilde{f} : \widetilde{W} \to B$, and the image $\tau(F_0)$ has to be a fiber of the projection $B \times F \to B$ since genus $B>2$. This shows that the birational map $W \to B \times F$ sends fibers of f to fibers of the projection $B \times F \to B$.

Let F_s be a singular fiber of f. Then both F_s and its inverse image in $\widetilde{W}$ are unions of rational and elliptic components. But such a fiber in $\widetilde{W}$ cannot map onto a genus 2 curve F which is a fiber of $B \times F \to B$.
This contradiction shows that $b_1(W) \leq b_1(B) + 2$.

Now we consider the general case. We can find a finite ramified map $\widetilde{B} \to B$ which is étale at all points in B over which a singular fiber of f occurs and such that genus $\widetilde{B}>2$. Consider the fiber product $\widetilde{W} := W \times_B \widetilde{B}$. Then $\widetilde{W}$ is smooth and has an induced genus-2 fibration $\widetilde{f} : \widetilde{W} \to \tilde{B}$. Clearly $\widetilde{f}$ is relatively minimal and $\widetilde{f}$ has at least one singular fiber. By the previous case, the image $H_1(F;\mathbb{Q}) \to H_1(\widetilde{W};\mathbb{Q})$ has rank ≤ 2, where F is a general fiber of $\widetilde{f}$. Then $H_1(F;\mathbb{Q}) \to H_1(W;\mathbb{Q})$ also has rank ≤ 2 for a general fiber F of f.

(b) Now assume that $b_1(W) = b_1(C) + 4$. By (a) f is a C^∞-fiber bundle and X is birational to the product $C \times F$.
If C is rational then by Teichmüller theory f is a trivial bundle.

Assume that genus $C > 0$. We will first prove that the birational (rational) map $W \to C \times F$ is a morphism.
If this is not true then let $\widetilde{W} \to X$ be obtained by a smallest succession of blowing ups so that the induced birational map $\widetilde{W} \to C \times F$ is a morphism. Let E be the last exceptional curve obtained in the blowing ups. Then the image of E in $C \times F$ is a curve. This curve cannot map onto the base C since genus $C > 0$. This is a contradiction. Hence we have a birational morphism $W \to C \times F$. It is clear that W cannot contain any rational curve since f is a C^∞-bundle and C is non-rational. Hence this birational morphism is also finite. It follows that this morphism is an isomorphism.
Hence W is isomorphic to $C \times F$.

Finally, assume that genus $C > 2$. Then the proof of part (a) shows that the fibers of f are mapped to fibers of the projection $C \times F \to C$. Hence f is a trivial bundle.
This proves part (b) of the proposition.

□

Remark. In (b) above it is not clear if f is itself a trivial bundle in case C is of genus 1 or 2.

In view of the proposition we can now assume for the rest of the proof that

$$b_1(X) = b_1(C) + 2$$

We will need to use precise knowledge of singular fibers of a genus-2 fibration $X \to B$ on a smooth projective surface X from [11].

For the purpose of calculating the fundamental group of X in this paper the singular fibers which contain an elliptic curve need a careful scrutiny. Firstly, every singular fiber contains an irreducible component which occurs with multiplicity 1 in the scheme-theoretic fiber. There are only two types of singular fibers which contain an elliptic curve occurring with multiplicity 2 in the fiber, viz. those of numerical type (12) on pages 155 and 159 in [11]. Next, suppose that a singular fiber contains an elliptic curve (which is the only case of real interest in this paper by Lemma 1 below). In the Step 1 in the proof we eliminate most types of singular fibers containing a reduced elliptic curve as a component. After this step we have the situation that the union of rational curves in any singular fiber is a disjoint union of is simply-connected curves. The union of rational curves can be contracted to finitely many normal singular points on a normal compact complex surface T. An easy application of Van Kampen's theorem shows that the induced homomorphism $\pi_1(X) \to \pi_1(T)$ is an isomorphism. This observation will be rather useful in some of the arguments.

In our proof we will first deal with the cases when at least one singular fiber contains a reduced elliptic curve and then deduce the result in the two cases when only multiple elliptic curves occur in singular fibers.

2. Proof of the Theorem.

We now assume that $b_1(X) = b_1(C) + 2$.

We have an induced exact sequence of abelian varieties and homomorphisms

$$Alb(F) \to Alb(X) \to Alb(C) \to (0)$$

and the image of the homomorphism $Alb(F) \to Alb(X)$ is a 1-dimensional abelian subvariety of $Alb(X)$ denoted by E'. This will be essentially the elliptic curve E in the statement of the theorem.

By Poincaré's complete reducibility we can find an abelian subvariety $S \subset Alb(X)$ such that $E' \cap S$ is finite and S maps onto $Alb(C)$ with a finite kernel. Now $Alb(X)/S$ is an elliptic curve E_0. This gives a surjective morphism $X \to E_0$. Let $g : X \to E$ be the Stein factorization of this morphism.

Proof of Part (1) of the Theorem.

Now we will assume that f is not a C^∞-fiber bundle. We will use the knowledge of possible singular fibers in a genus-2 fibration.

In [11] and [14] the authors have given a complete classification of the singular fibers that can occur in a genus-2 fibration. There are 44 different

possible types of singular fibers. Any component of each fiber is either a rational curve or an elliptic curve. The next result says that many of these fibers cannot occur in our situation.

Lemma 1. *Every singular fiber of f contains an elliptic curve.*
If a singular fiber of f is irreducible then it is an elliptic curve with exactly one ordinary node and no other singular point.

Proof. Since f is flat, every scheme-theoretic fiber has arithmetic genus 2.
Suppose that a singular fiber F_0 has all its components only rational curves. Then the image of F_0 in $Alb(X)$ is a point. By continuity, all the fibers of f are mapped to points in $Alb(X)$. This implies that we have an isomorphism $Alb(X) \to Alb(C)$. This is a contradiction since by assumption $b_1(X) = b_1(C) + 2$.

Suppose that F_0 is an elliptic curve with an ordinary cusp. Then F_0 has a non-constant morphism to the elliptic curve E' which is the kernel of $Alb(X) \to Alb(C)$. Since any non-constant morphism between smooth elliptic curves is étale we get a contradiction by considering the induced morphism $\overline{F_0} \to E'$, where $\overline{F_0}$ is a smooth model of F_0.

This completes the proof of Lemma 1.

By Lemma 1 there is some elliptic curve E_s contained in a fiber of f which also maps onto E_0. As defined earlier, $g : X \to E$ is the Stein factorization of the map $X \to E_0$. Since the map $E_s \to E$ is non-constant, E is a smooth elliptic curve. We will prove that this is the elliptic curve in the statement of the theorem.

We have a morphism $h := f \times g : X \to C \times E$. Then h maps fibers of f onto fibers of the first projection of $C \times E$ which are all isomorphic to E.

Note that the morphism h is defined even when f is a C^∞-fiber bundle, since by assumption $b_1(X) = b_1(C) + 2$.

Any rational component of any fiber of f maps to a point in C and E both, hence maps to a point in $C \times E$.
Let Z be the normalization of $C \times E$ in the function field of X. It follows that Z is a projective surface. We get an induced finite morphism $h_0 : Z \to C \times E$.
It is clear that for any singular fiber of f at least one elliptic component of this singular fiber (which exists by Lemma 1) maps onto E. The next result will imply that any elliptic component in a reducible fiber of f maps onto E, so that Z is obtained from X by contracting rational components

in fibers of f to points.

Lemma 2. *Suppose that a singular fiber F_0 of f contains two elliptic curves E_i. Then the induced maps $E_i \to E$ are both non-constant.*

Proof. In the lists in [11], [14], only the singular fiber of type (13) in [11] contains two (smooth) elliptic curves joined by a linear chain of (-2)-curves. By the proof of Lemma 1 at least one of these two curves maps onto E, so that its image under h is not a point. Suppose E_2 maps to a point in E. If $D \subset X$ is an irreducible curve such that $f(D) = C$ then $h(D)$ is a curve. Hence the only irreducible curves in X which map to points under h are some components of fibers of f.
Let Z be the surface defined above. Let $f_0 : Z \to C$ be the induced genus-2 fibration on Z. Every scheme-theoretic fiber of f_0 has arithmetic genus 2 since f_0 is a flat map. But the image of E_1 in Z will be a reduced curve with a unibranch singularity. This contradicts the proof of Lemma 1.
This contradiction proves the result.

From Lemma 1 and 2 it follows that the morphism $X \to E$ factors through Z.

For a general fiber F of f consider the map $pr_2 \circ h : F \to E$. By Riemann-Hurwitz formula either there are two points in F which are ramified for this map with ramification index 2 each, or a single point which is ramified with ramification index 3. It follows that the union of these ramified points, say R, consists of either two irreducible curves R_1, R_2, or a single irreducible curve R. Each R_i (resp. R) maps onto C under f.

Case 1. The ramification locus R is a cross section of f.
In this case there is a unique point in a general fiber F which is ramified for the map $F \to E$. The image of R in $C \times E$, say B, is then a cross-section for $p_1 : C \times E \to C$. Since B meets every fiber of p_1 transversally the surface Z is a C^∞-fiber bundle over C. In this case, $X = Z$ and f has no singular fibers. We will consider this case later after Step 5 and show that it cannot occur.

Case 2. The ramification divisor has two irreducible components R_1, R_2.
Now both R_i are cross-sections for f and their images B_i are cross-sections for p_1.

Note that E acts on $C \times E$ by translation on the second factor. Any cross-section for p_1 can be assumed to give the identity element in the fibers of p_1, considered as an abelian variety. So we will assume that B_1 is a standard cross-section for p_1.

In particular, $B_i^2 = 0$ for $i = 1, 2$. If $B_1 \cap B_2 = \phi$ then Z is a C^∞-fiber bundle.

Case 3. The ramification divisor is irreducible and is not a cross-section.

Proof of Case 2.

We will first consider Case 2 assuming that there is an elliptic curve in some singular fiber which occurs with multiplicity 1 in that fiber and use the results obtained to deal with Case 3 and the remaining (two) cases of singular fibers which contain a non-reduced elliptic curve.

Since the proof is somewhat long we will split it in several steps.

Step 1. Elimination of certain singular fibers.

This step is also applicable in Case 2 and Case 3 when some elliptic curve occurs in a singular fiber of f with multiplicity 1.

Assume that there is a singular fiber F_0 of f which is a union of a reduced elliptic curve E_0 having at most unibranch singular points and certain number of connected curves $T_1, T_2, ...$ which are disjoint from each other and each of which is a union of rational curves, each T_i meeting E_0 in only one point.
Each T_i contracts to a normal singular point on a normal compact complex surface X_0 with an induced genus-2 fibration $f_0 : X_0 \to C$. It follows that the image of E_0 in X_0 is a reduced fiber E_0' having only unibranch singular points and with arithmetic genus 2. But then E_0' maps onto a smooth elliptic fiber of the map $C \times E \to C$. This is a contradiction, as seen in the proof of Lemma 1.

Now we can assume in the subsequent arguments that f has no such singular fiber. This eliminates many types of singular fibers containing an elliptic curve occuring with multiplicity 1. From the list in [11] it now follows that any connected component of the union of rational curves in any singular fiber is a tree of (-2)-curves which can be contracted to a rational double point. This observation will be useful later.

Lemma 3. *The natural homomorphism $\pi_1(X) \to \pi_1(Z)$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. This follows from the discussion in the preliminaries.

Lemma 3 will be useful later.

Step 2. The case when $R = R_1 \cup R_2$ and every elliptic curve in a singular fiber occurs with multiplicity 1.

The proof in this step contains all the crucial ideas.

In view of Step 1, in the present step whenever a singular fiber contains an elliptic curve one of the following two things can happen.
(a) Either there are two elliptic curves in that fiber, or
(b) The contraction of the rational curves in that fiber does not give a (reduced) elliptic curve with at most unibranch singularities.

Now consider the finite morphism $h_0 : Z \to C \times E$. We denote the images of R_i in Z by R_i'. It is clear that the ramification index of R_i' over B_i is 2 and the map $R_i' \to B_i$ is an isomorphism since both are cross-sections. Let $q \in B_1 \cap B_2$. There is a unique point $p \in R_1' \cap R_2'$ which maps to q.

The next result is rather technical. This result is needed for some later arguments.

Lemma 4. *Let (Z, p) be a germ of a rational double point in dimension 2. Let $\pi : (Z, p) \to (\mathbb{C}^2, 0)$ be a finite analytic map. Assume that π is ramified precisely over $\{X = 0\}$ and $\{X - Y^l = 0\}$ for some $l \geq 1$. Further assume that there is a unique irreducible component of the inverse image of $\{X = 0\}$ in Z which is ramified and has ramification index 2, and similarly there is a unique irreducible component of the inverse image of $\{X - Y^l = 0\}$ in Z which is ramified and has ramification index 2. Then π is Galois.*

Proof. We denote the ring $\mathbb{C}[[X, Y]]$ by T.

If $l = 1$ then the branch locus B is a normal crossing divisor in the germ $(\mathbb{C}^2, 0)$. Using the fact that $\pi_1(\mathbb{C}^2 - B) \cong \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$, it is easy to see that π is in fact an abelian extension.

Now we will assume that $l > 1$ for the rest of the argument.

Consider the ring $T[X^{1/2}, (X - Y^l)^{1/2}]$. This is an integral extension of T and the extension of the quotient fields is Galois with Galois group Klein's four-group V_4. Let S be the local ring of Z at point p. So that we have an inclusion $T \to S$. We wish to show that this inclusion is Galois. We have the commutative diagram:



Here Q is the compositum of S and $T[X^{1/2}, (X - Y^l)^{1/2}]$. Since the ramification indices over the curves $\{X = 0\}$ and $\{X - Y^l = 0\}$ in both the extensions S and $T[X^{1/2}, (X - Y^l)^{1/2}]$ are same, by Abhyankar's lemma [1] we see that $T[X^{1/2}, (X - Y^l)^{1/2}] \subset Q$ is an unramified extension. Let $u := X^{1/2}, v := (X - Y^l)^{1/2}$. Then $T[X^{1/2}, (X - Y^l)^{1/2}]$ defines the rational double point $\{u^2 - v^2 - Y^l = 0\}$. It follows that Q, being divisorially unramified over this singularity, is also a rational double point. Now Q is a ring of invariants of $\mathbb{C}[[s,t]]$ by a finite subgroup of $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$. Thus we have an inclusion $T \to \mathbb{C}[[s,t]]$ which is *uniformly ramified in co-dimension one* in the sense of Griffith [6]. This means that for any height 1 prime ideal $P \subset T$ the ramification index of any prime ideal in $\mathbb{C}[[s,t]]$ lying over P depends only on P. From the result of ([6], Theorem 1.6) we can conclude that it is Galois, with the Galois group denoted by G. It is easy to see that the Galois group of the extension $T[X^{1/2}, (X - Y^l)^{1/2}] \subset \mathbb{C}[[s,t]]$ is $\mathbb{Z}/l\mathbb{Z}$ and the degree of the extension $T = \mathbb{C}[[X,Y]] \subset C[[s,t]]$ is $4l$.

Suppose $T \subset S$ is not Galois. Then there is a non-normal subgroup in G, say H, which corresponds to the extension $S \subset \mathbb{C}[[s,t]]$. Because $T \to T[X^{1/2}, (X - Y^l)^{1/2}]$ is Galois, $\mathbb{Z}/l\mathbb{Z}$ is normal in G and we have the following exact sequence:

$$(1) \to \mathbb{Z}/l\mathbb{Z} \to G \to V_4 \to (1).$$

Case 1. H does not intersect $\mathbb{Z}/l\mathbb{Z}$.

In this case H is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ or V_4. If H is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ then the inclusion $S \subset \mathbb{C}[[s,t]]$ has degree 2 and it is unramified because S is not regular. This makes the map $\mathbb{C}[[X,Y]] \subset S$ Galois due to the above mentioned result of Griffith in [6].

Assume now that H is isomorphic to V_4. We claim that H is generated by pseudo-reflections. This is seen as follows. Since H is abelian its action can be assumed to be diagonal. If H is not generated by pseudo-reflections then $\sigma(s,t) = (-s,-t),\ \tau(s,t) = (s,-t)$ generate H. Then the ring of invariants is $\{s^2, t^2\}$. This shows that Z is smooth, a contradiction to the hypothesis.

Case 2. Thus we can assume that $H \cap \mathbb{Z}/l\mathbb{Z}$ is $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ for some $m \leq l$.

We denote the quotient of $\mathbb{C}[[s,t]]$ by $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ by W. Then W is defined by the equation $Z_1Z_2 = Z_3^m$ where $Z_1 = s^m$, $Z_2 = t^m$ and $Z_3 = st$ are the invariants of the action of $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ on $\mathbb{C}[[s,t]]$. As elements of $\mathbb{C}[[s,t]]$ we have $u = (s^l + t^l)/2$, $v = (s^l - t^l)/2$ and $Y = st$. Because $Y \in T$ the element st is invariant under the action of H on W. Again, the following two short exact sequences are possible

$$(1) \to \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z} \to H \to \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \to (1)$$

$$(1) \to \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z} \to H \to V_4 \to (1).$$

In the first case let σ be the generator of $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. Then $\sigma(Z_3) = Z_3$ and because $Z_1Z_2 = Z_3^m$, $\sigma(Z_1Z_2) = Z_1Z_2$ the element σ acts on Z_1 and Z_2 in the following two possible ways: $\sigma(Z_1) = UZ_1$ and $\sigma(Z_2) = Z_2/U$ or $\sigma(Z_1) = UZ_2$ and $\sigma(Z_2) = Z_1/U$, where U is a unit. If $\sigma(Z_1) = UZ_1$ and $\sigma(Z_2) = Z_2/U$ then because the order of σ is 2, it is easy to see that $\sigma(\sqrt{U}) = 1/\sqrt{U}$ or $\sigma(\sqrt{U}) = -1/\sqrt{U}$. If $\sigma(\sqrt{U}) = 1/\sqrt{U}$ then let $Z'_1 = \sqrt{U}Z_1$ and $Z'_2 = Z_2/\sqrt{U}$. Then $\sigma(Z'_1) = Z'_1$ and $\sigma(Z'_2) = Z'_2$, showing that σ acts as the identity element, which is not possible as σ generates $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. Thus, $\sigma(\sqrt{U}) = -1/\sqrt{U}$. In which case $\sigma(Z'_1) = -Z'_1$ and $\sigma(Z'_2) = -Z'_2$, and the invariants under this action are ${Z'_1}^2$, ${Z'_2}^2$ and Z_3. This implies that the singularity of Z is an A_{2m-1} singularity (since the degree of the extension $S \subset \mathbb{C}[[s,t]]$ is now $2m$), and hence the inclusion $S \to \mathbb{C}[[s,t]]$ is unramified. Then the inclusion $\mathbb{C}[[X,Y]] \subset S$ is uniformly ramified, and hence Galois by the result of Griffith used earlier. This means that H is normal.

On the other hand, if $\sigma(Z_1) = UZ_2$ and $\sigma(Z_2) = Z_1/U$ then a similar analysis will show that Z is smooth, which is a contradiction to the hypothesis.

We now turn our attention to the second exact sequence. The extension $W \to Z$ is Galois with Galois group V_4. Let σ and σ' be the generators of V_4. All the elements of V_4 leave $Z_3 = st$ fixed. Then by the same argument as in the case of the first exact sequence we can show that W/σ is either smooth or an A_{2m-1} singularity. Further denoting W/σ by W' we can argue that if W' is an A_{2m-1} singularity then W'/σ' is either smooth or an A_{4m-1} singularity. The former is a contradiction to hypothesis and the latter implies that H is normal. If W' is smooth then $W'/\sigma' = Z$ is smooth because one of the invariants of the local ring of W' under the action of σ' is $Z_3 = st$. Hence σ' acts as a pseudo-reflection on W', implying that Z is smooth. Thus H is normal in G.

This completes the proof of Lemma 4.

Now with Z as above, let $f_0 : Z \to C,\ h_0 : Z \to C \times E$ be as before. Then we have the following result.

Lemma 5. *No component of any fiber of f_0 is ramified for the map h_0.*

Proof. Clearly no regular fiber of f_0 is ramified for h_0. Any component of a singular fiber of f_0 is an elliptic curve and it occurs with multiplicity 1 in the corresponding scheme-theoretic fiber. Using $pr_1 \circ h_0 = 1_C \circ f_0$ we deduce that no component of any fiber of f_0 is ramified for the map h_0.

Lemma 6. *The inverse image of B_1 in Z has R_1' as a connected component.*

Proof. The branch locus for the map $Z \to C \times E$ is $B_1 \cup B_2$. The inverse image of $B_1 - B_2$ is clearly smooth since B_1 is smooth. Lemma 4 shows that for any point $p \in B_1 \cap B_2$ the map $Z \to C \times E$ is locally Galois. By Lemma 5, it is étale at points of Z not lying in $R_1' \cup R_2'$. This proves the assertion.

Remark. We need lemma 4 only for the proof of Lemma 6. Without Lemma 4 it is not clear, a priori, whether the inverse image of B_i can contain an irreducible component which is not ramified for h. If a simpler argument can be given for Lemma 6 then the technical Lemma 4 can be avoided.

Lemma 7. *Suppose that f is not a C^∞-fiber bundle. Then the degree of the map $Z \to C \times E$ is 2. In particular, there is an action of $\mathbb{Z}/(2)$ on Z with quotient $C \times E$.*

Proof. If B_1, B_2 do not intersect then again Z is a C^∞-bundle and f has no singular fibers. Hence $B_1.B_2 > 0$. We have seen that $B_i^2 = 0$ for $i = 1, 2$. Now $(B_1 + B_2)^2 > 0$ and $B_1 + B_2$ is a nef and big divisor. The divisor $R_1' \cup R_2'$ is connected because $R_i' \to B_i$ is an isomorphism and R_i' is a connected component of the inverse image of B_i. By Lemma 6, there is a tubular neighbourhood T_1 of R_1' such that its image under the map $Z \to E \times C$ is a tubular neighbourhood of B_1 and the full inverse image of B_1 in T_1 is R_1', the ramification index of R_1' over B_1 being 2. Thus the map $Z \to E \times C$ restricted to T_1 is of degree 2. Similarly there is a tubular neighbourhood T_2 around R_2' such that the map restricted to T_2 has degree 2. Thus the map $Z \to E \times C$ restricted to $T_1 \cup T_2$ has degree 2. It is easy to see that the complement of $B_1 \cup B_2$ in $C \times E$ is affine. Thus by a suitable application of Hartogs' result, the degree of the map $Z \to E \times C$ is 2.
Since the map h_0 is finite the second assertion follows.

Remark. Since $Z \to C \times E$ has degree 2 and R_i' is ramified for this map, we see that R_i' is in fact the full inverse image of B_i.

Since $X \to Z$ is a minimal resolution of singularities, this $\mathbb{Z}/(2)$-action extends naturally to X. Since the fixed points of a finite group acting on a smooth variety is a closed smooth subvariety R_1, R_2 are both smooth and disjoint. The other irreducible curves in X fixed by this action are fiber components of f, for otherwise any horizontal fixed curve will be a ramified curve for the map $X \to C \times E$.
This observation will be useful later when we consider the case when R is a 2-section.

Lemma 8. *There is a fibration $Z \to E$ with connected fibers such that there is a short exact sequence*

$$(1) \to \pi_1(R_1') \to \pi_1(Z) \to \pi_1(E) \to (1)$$

where E is the elliptic curve in the above discussion.

Proof. We choose the obvious map $p_2 \circ h_0 : Z \to E$. We have seen above that R_1' is the full inverse image of B_1, so that R_1' is set-theoretically the full fiber of $p_2 \circ h_0$.
We claim that the fibers of $p_2 \circ h_0$ are connected. To see this we note that the degree of h_0 is 2. If a general fiber of this map is not connected then we consider the Stein factorization $\varphi : Z \to E'$ of the map $Z \to E$. Let E_1 be an elliptic curve in some singular fiber of f. Then the map $\varphi : E_1 \to E'$ is dominant. Hence E' is also an elliptic curve. Consequently, the map $E' \to E$ is étale. There are at least two points in E' over every point in E. It follows that the inverse image of B_1 contains at least two curves. This contradiction proves the claim.
Using the observations that R_1' has ramification index 2 over B_1 and B_1 is a fiber of the map $C \times E$ we infer that R_1' occurs with multiplicity 2 in the corresponding fiber. Consider the induced fibration $X \to E$. The corresponding fiber of this fibration is $G_0 := R_1 \cup \Delta$, where Δ is a disjoint union of simply-connected curves.

Claim. G_0 has multiplicity 1, i.e. the gcd of the multiplicities of the irreducible components of G_0 is 1.

We first prove that under the hypothesis of Step 2 every singular fiber has a rational curve as an irreducible component. Indeed, if there is no rational curve in a singular fiber then the singular fiber will be an elliptic curve with a node or a union of two smooth elliptic curves intersecting transversally at one point. The ramification divisor R can meet such fiber only at the point of singularity of the fiber because a non-constant map between elliptic curves is unramified. Thus both R_1 and R_2 will have to pass through the point of singularity of the fiber. But the intersection of R with a fiber is atmost 2. This is a contradiction.

Now assume that the claim is not true, then the multiplicity of G_0 is 2 since R_1 occurs with multiplicity 2. Let $q \in E$ be the image of G_0. Let U be a small disc in E with center q and let t be a local parameter at q in U. Let N be the inverse image of U in X. We consider another disc $\widetilde{U}$ with local parameter τ and the holomorphic map $\widetilde{U} \to U$ sending $\tau \to \tau^2$. Let $\widetilde{N}$ be the normalization of the fiber product $N \times_U \widetilde{U}$. Then $\widetilde{N} \to N$ is proper and étale of degree 2. The inverse image of Δ splits into a disjoint union of simply-connected curves. Let $\widetilde{R}_1$ be the inverse image of R_1 in $\widetilde{N}$. We can contract the simply-connected curves to normal singular points on a normal surface $\widetilde{N}_0$ which has induced étale map $\widetilde{N}_0 \to Z$. The image of $\widetilde{R}_1$ in $\widetilde{N}_0$ is therefore smooth. It is a full fiber of the map $\widetilde{N}_0 \to \widetilde{U}$ and occurs with multiplicity 1 by construction. This easily implies that the local ring of $\widetilde{N}_0$ at any of the singular points is regular. This contradiction proves the claim.

Now by ([17], Lemma 2) we deduce the following exact sequence:

$$\pi_1(R_1') \to \pi_1(Z) \to \pi_1(E) \to (1).$$

The map $\pi_1(R_1') \to \pi_1(Z)$ is injective because the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi_1(R_1') & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(Z) \\ {\scriptstyle l}\big\downarrow & & \big\downarrow \\ \pi_1(C) & \xrightarrow{m} & \pi_1(E \times C) \end{array}$$

where l is an isomorphism and m is injective. This proves the lemma.

Lemma 9. *The fundamental group of X is $\pi_1(C) \times \pi_1(E)$*

Proof. We have the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} (1) & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(R_1') & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(Z) & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(E) & \longrightarrow & (1) \\ & & & & {\scriptstyle b_*}\big\uparrow & & & & \\ & & \pi_1(F) & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(X) & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(C). & \longrightarrow & (1) \end{array}$$

Here b_* is an isomorphism. The lower row in the diagram splits, using a splitting $\sigma : \pi_1(C) \to \pi_1(X)$, because $R_1 \to C$ is a cross-section of f. We denote the image of $\pi_1(F)$ inside $\pi_1(X)$ by I. The image of σ coincides with the image of $\pi_1(R_1')$ under b_*^{-1} making it a normal subgroup of $\pi_1(X)$. Since the intersection of I and this normal subgroup is trivial we have $\pi_1(X) = I \cdot \pi_1(R_1)$. The upper row shows that $\pi_1(Z)/\pi_1(R_1') = \pi_1(E)$ thus $I = \pi_1(E)$. Since $I, \pi_1(C)$ are both normal and have a trivial intersection they commute with each other.

This completes the description of $\pi_1(X)$ when $R = R_1 \cup R_2$ and any elliptic curve in a singular fiber occurs with multiplicity 1.

Step 3. The case when $R = R_1 \cup R_2$ and some singular fiber F_0 contains an elliptic curve with multiplicity 2.

From [11] there are only two types of singular fibers which contain non-reduced elliptic curves, viz. those of numerical type (12) on pages 155 and 159 of [11]. In each of these cases the fiber is a union of a smooth elliptic curve (occurring with multiplicity 2) and a disjoint union of at most two trees of smooth rational curves which contract to rational double points in Z.

We will briefly indicate the proof by using arguments from Step 2.

We have the exact sequence

$$\pi_1(F) \to \pi_1(X) \to \pi_1(C) \to (1).$$

We can assume that F lies in a tubular neighborhood T of F_0. Clearly, $\pi_1(T) \cong \pi_1(F_0) \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$. This gives the exact sequence

$$\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \to \pi_1(X) \to \pi_1(C) \to (1).$$

Since $b_1(X) = b_1(C)+2$ we see that the first map in this sequence is an injection and the image is a normal subgroup of $\pi_1(X)$. The sequence splits, as before, using one of the cross-section, say R_1. Hence $\pi_1(X) \cong \pi_1(C) \cdot (\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z})$. Next, we again consider the morphism $X \to C \times E$, inducing a morphism $Z \to C \times E$. Under this map the factor $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ maps injectively to $\pi_1(E)$ and the map on the second factor $\pi_1(C)$ is an isomorphism. Using normality of $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ in $\pi_1(X)$ we deduce that $\pi_1(X) \cong \pi_1(C) \times (\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z})$ as follows. Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}, \beta \in \pi_1(C)$. The images of these elements in $\pi_1(E), \pi_1(C)$ in $\pi_1(E \times C)$ commute. Hence $\alpha, \beta^{-1}\alpha\beta$ map to the same element in $\pi_1(E \times C)$. But the map $(\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}) \to \pi_1(E)$ is injective. This shows that α, β commute.

This completes the proof of the part (1) of the theorem when R is a union of two cross-sections.

Proof of Case 3.

Step 4. The case when R is irreducible, not a cross-section, and there is at least one singular fiber containing a reduced elliptic curve.

Again, let $f : X \to C$ be a genus-2 fibration satisfying the properties mentioned above with R irreducible and not a cross-section. In this sub-section

we prove that the degree of the map $X \to E \times C$ is 2 and discuss the structure $\pi_1(X)$. These observations will help us verify the Shafarevich conjecture for X.

Lemma 10. *The map $X \to E \times C$ has degree 2.*

Proof. We have a map $f|_R : R \to C$ of degree 2. We consider the desingularized fiber product $Y = R \times_C X$. The fibration $f' : Y \to R$ is a genus-2 fibration with connected fibers. Since f has a fiber, say F_s, containing a reduced elliptic component, say E_s, we can see that the same thing is true for f'.
We briefly indicate a proof of this. If the map $R \to C$ is ramified at a point in R where R meets F_s then an easy argument shows that E_s is ramified for the map $Y \to X$. Since the degree of the map $Y \to X$ is 2 the inverse image of E_s in Y contains an elliptic curve. On the other hand, if the map $R \to C$ is étale at points in $R \cap F_s$ then $Y \to X$ is étale over F_s. Again, the inverse image of E_s in Y contains an elliptic curve.
Hence f' cannot be a C^∞-fiber bundle. Since the image of $H_1(F, \mathbf{R}) \to H_1(X, \mathbf{R})$ has image of rank 2, the same thing is true for Y. Hence $b_1(Y) = b_1(R) + 2$.

There is a map $l : Y \to E$ given by the composition $Y \to X \to E$. Now f' has a tautological cross-section R'_1 such that there are two cross-sections,R'_1, R'_2, of f' which lie over R. By the previous arguments the map $Y \to R \times E$ is a degree 2 map. Now we consider the commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y & \longrightarrow & X \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ R \times E & \xrightarrow{m} & C \times E \end{array}$$

By the proof of Step 2, the degrees of the maps $Y \to R \times E$, $R \times E \to C \times E$ and $Y \to X$ are all 2. Thus the map $X \to C \times E$ has degree 2.

We will need the following general result.

Lemma 11. *Let $f : W \to V$ be a finite surjective analytic map between irreducible normal complex spaces. Suppose that for some point $p \in V$ there is a unique point $q \in W$ lying over p. Then the induced natural homomorphism $\pi_1(W) \to \pi_1(V)$ is a surjection.*

Proof. Let $B \subset V$ be the branch locus. Then $f : W - f^{-1}(B) \to V - B$ is a topological covering of finite degree, say d. Hence the homomorphism $\pi_1(W - f^{-1}(B)) \to \pi_1(V - B)$ is injective and the image has index d. Both the homomorphisms $\pi_1(W - f^{-1}(B)) \to \pi_1(W)$, $\pi_1(V - B) \to \pi_1(V)$ are

surjections because V, W are normal. It follows that the image of the homomorphism $\pi_1(W) \to \pi_1(V)$ has index at most d. Let H be the image of this homomorphism. There is a connected covering $\tilde{V} \to V$ such that $\pi_1(\tilde{V}) = H$. If the above index is > 1 then there are at least two distinct points in $\tilde{V}$ lying over p. By covering space theory we have a lift $W \to \tilde{V}$, which will also be a finite surjective analytic map. But W has a unique point q lying over p. This is a contradiction.
Hence $\pi_1(W) \to \pi_1(V)$ is a surjection.

By the previous step, $\pi_1(Y) \cong \pi_1(R) \times \pi_1(E_0)$, where E_0 is an elliptic curve. If the map $f|_R$ is unramified then the map $\pi_1(Y) \to \pi_1(X)$ is injective. Thus we have $\pi_1(R) \times \pi_1(E_0)$ as an index 2 subgroup of $\pi_1(X)$. If the map $f|_R$ is ramified then we have the following Lemma.

Lemma 12. *Assume that $f|_R$ is ramified. Then $\pi_1(X) = \Gamma_1 \times \pi_1(E_0)$ where Γ_1 is a surjective image of $\pi_1(R)$.*
Proof. Consider the commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi_1(Y) & \xrightarrow{a} & \pi_1(X) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle b} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle c} \\ \pi_1(R \times E) & \xrightarrow{d} & \pi_1(C \times E) \end{array}$$

The maps a and d are surjective by Lemma 11. The map $R \times E \to C \times E$ is given by $f|_R \times id$. The morphism $Y \to R$ may still have some singular fiber containing a non-reduced elliptic curve. Hence we have an isomorphism $\pi_1(Y) \cong \pi_1(R) \times \pi_1(E_0)$. Under the map b the factor $\pi_1(E_0)$ maps injectively to $\pi_1(E)$. Let Γ_1 and Γ_2 be the images of $\pi_1(R)$ and $\pi_1(E_0)$ in $\pi_1(X)$ under the map $\pi_1(Y) \to \pi_1(X)$. We claim that $\Gamma_1 \cap \Gamma_2 = 1$. This follows from the observation that the images of Γ_1, Γ_2 are mapped respectively to $\pi_1(C), \pi_1(E)$ by c in the group $\pi_1(C \times E)$.

Step 5. The case when R is irreducible and every singular fiber contains a non-reduced elliptic curve.

We again consider the desingularized fiber product $Y : X \times_C R$. First of all, Y may not be relatively minimal. Since the fundamental group does not change by contraction of a (-1)-curve, for the sake of simplicity of exposition, we will assume that $f' : Y \to R$ is relatively minimal.

Claim. f' is not a C^∞-bundle.

To see this we will look closely at the two types of singular fibers F_s which contain an elliptic curve E_s occuring with multiplicity 2.

Suppose R meets E_s. Since the degree of the map $R \to C$ is 2, the intersection $E_s \cap R$ is a single point and the intersection is transverse. But then the map $h|_{E_s} : E_s \to E$ is ramified. This is not possible. Hence R does not meet E_s.
Suppose that $R \to C$ is étale at all the points in $F_s \cap R$. Then clearly the inverse image of E_s in Y is a union of elliptic curves. Hence f' is not a bundle.
Now assume that $R \to C$ is ramified at some point, say p, in $F_s \cap R$. From the nature of F_s we see that p lies in an irreducible rational component F_1 of F_s. If this component occurs with multiplicity 2 in F_s then again the map $Y \to X$ is étale in a neighborhood of E_s. Hence f' cannot be a bundle.
Assume finally that F_1 occurs with multiplicity 1. In one type of singular fiber E_s and F_1 are connected by rational components each of which occurs with multiplicity 2 in F_s. Hence $Y \to X$ is étale in a neighborhood of E_s, proving that f' is not a bundle.
Suppose F_1 meets E_s. Then their intersection is a single point with a transverse intersection. Then we see that F_1 is ramified for the map $Y \to X$ and the inverse image of E_s in Y is a smooth irreducible curve $\widetilde{E_s}$, the map $\widetilde{E_s} \to E_s$ has degree 2 and there is a unique point in $\widetilde{E_s}$ which is ramified for the map to E_s with ramification index is 2. This contradicts the Riemann-Hurwitz formula.
This contradiction proves the claim.

By the claim above, f' is not a C^∞-fiber bundle. Then $b_1(Y) = b_1(R) + 2$. Now the ramification divisor for the morphism $Y \to R \times E$ splits into two cross-sections (one of which is the tautological cross-section). We can now use Step 2 or Step 3 for Y. Hence $\pi_1(Y) \cong \pi_1(R) \times (\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z})$. If $R \to C$ is étale (of degree 2) then $Y \to X$ is étale (of degree 2). Hence $\pi_1(R) \times (\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z})$ is a subgroup of index 2 of $\pi_1(X)$.

Suppose that $R \to C$ is ramified. Then $\pi_1(R) \to \pi_1(C)$ is onto by Lemma 11. In this case we deduce that $\pi_1(X) \cong \Gamma \times (\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z})$, where Γ is the image of $\pi_1(R) \to \pi_1(X)$.

Proof of Case 1.

Now we consider the remaining case when R is a cross-section.
We will show that this case cannot occur.

The degree of the map $R \to C$ is 3. In this case the branch curve B is a cross-section for the map $C \times E \to C$. Hence the map $Z \to C$ is a C^∞-bundle, $X = Z$ and f is a C^∞-bundle. Since B is smooth, by a local analysis we see easily that its inverse image in X is smooth. Since R is the ramification divisor in X we deduce that R is the full inverse image of B with ramification

index 3. Now C cannot be rational, otherwise by Teichmüller theory $X \cong C \times F$ which contradicts the assumption $b_1(X) = b_1(C) + 2$. The long exact homotopy sequence for the bundle f gives a short exact sequence

$$(1) \to \pi_1(F) \to \pi_1(X) \to \pi_1(C) \to (1).$$

Using the cross-section R we have a splitting $\pi_1 X) \cong \pi_1(F) \cdot \pi_1(C)$, where $\pi_1(F)$ is normal by the above short exact sequence.
Since R is the full inverse image of B, the proof of Lemma 8 gives a short exact sequence

$$(1) \to \pi_1(R) \to \pi_1(X) \to \pi_1(E) \to (1).$$

This shows that $\pi_1(R) \cong \pi_1(C)$ is a normal subgroup of $\pi_1(X)$. This finally shows that $\pi_1(X) \cong \pi_1(F) \times \pi_1(C)$. But then $b_1(X) = b_1(C) + 4$, a contradiction.

This completes the proof of part (1) of the theorem.

Proof of part (2).

Suppose now that $X \to C$ is a genus-2 fibration with no singular fibers.
If C is rational then using Teichmüller theory we know that f is a trivial bundle.

Suppose that genus of C is 1. Again by Teichmüller theory all the fibers of f are mutually isomorphic. In this case it is known that after taking a suitable finite unramified cover $\tilde{C} \to C$ the fiber product $X \times_C \tilde{C}$ is isomorphic to $\tilde{C} \times F$.

This completes the proof of the theorem.

Remark. In the (2005) Ph.D. thesis of G. Karadoğan ([10]) at the Middle East Technical University it is proved that when f is a C^∞-bundle and $b_1(X) = b_1(C) + 2$, there is another morphism $\varphi : X \to E$, where E is an elliptic curve, such that φ has exactly two multiple fibers of multiplicity 2 each (the reduced fiber being smooth) and no other singular fibers.

3. Proof of Corollary 1. The Shafarevich Conjecture

We now prove the Corollary 1 stated in the Introduction. The following is a well-known question raised by I.R. Shafarevich ([16], Chapter IX, §3).

Conjecture. *Let X be a smooth projective variety over $\mathbb{C}$. Then the universal covering space $\widetilde{X}$ of X is holomorphically convex.*

Recall that a complex manifold U is holomorphically convex if for any sequence of points u_n in U without a limit point there is a holomorphic function f on U such that the sequence $|f(u_n)|$ is unbounded. A compact complex manifold is trivially holomorphically convex. Any non-compact connected Riemann surface is Stein by a well-known result due to R. Narasimhan [13], hence holomorphically convex. In [9] this conjecture was verified for all smooth projective surfaces which are not of general type. Here we verify the conjecture for genus-2 fibrations $f : X \to C$ fibrations such that the map $b_1(X) > b_1(C)$.

Again by Beauville's result mentioned before and the proposition in the preliminaries, if $b_1(X) = b_1(C) + 4$ then X is isomorphic to the product $C \times F$. Since the universal cover of $C \times F$ is the product of the universal covers of C, F, which is holomorphically convex, the result follows.

In view of this we will now assume that $b_1(X) = b_1(C) + 2$.

For later use we state here the following basic result due to R. Remmert and K. Stein. ([15], Satz 8).

Let $\tau : W \to V$ be a proper surjective holomorphic mapping between normal complex spaces of same dimension. Then V is holomorphically convex if and only if W is holomorphically convex.

Case 2. *R is a union of two cross-sections.* We have shown in this case that either

(1) $\pi_1(X) \cong \pi_1(C) \times \pi_1(E)$, where E is an elliptic curve and the induced map on the fundamental groups corresponding to a suitable morphism $X \to C \times E$ is this isomorphism, or

(2) $\pi_1(X) \cong \pi_1(C) \times \pi_1(E_0)$, where E_0 is an elliptic curve (occuring in a singular fiber).

Consider the case (1).

We have therefore the commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{X} & \xrightarrow{h} & U \times \mathbb{C} \\ {\scriptstyle v_1}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle v_2} \\ X & \xrightarrow{f \times g} & C \times E \end{array}$$

Where $\tilde{X}$ is the fiber-product of the universal cover $U \times \mathbb{C}$ of $E \times C$ and X. The space $\tilde{X}$ is the universal cover of X. Now $\tilde{X}$ is holomorphically

convex because $\tilde{X} \to U \times \mathbb{C}$ is a proper map with generically finite fibers and $U \times \mathbb{C}$ is easily seen to be holomorphically convex.

Next consider (2).

In this case the homomorphism $\pi_1(X) \cong \pi_1(C) \times \pi_1(E_0) \to \pi_1(C \times E)$ is an isomorphism on the first factor and an injection with image of finite index on the second factor.

Again, the universal cover $\tilde{X}$ of X is a connected component of the pull back of $U \times \mathbb{C}$ and the map $\tilde{X} \to U \times \mathbb{C}$ is proper with finite fibers. Using the Remmert-Stein theorem we see that $\tilde{X}$ is holomorphically convex.

Case 3. *R is irreducible and not a cross-section.*

The map $f : X \to C$ restricts to the map $f|_R : R \to C$. Hence we can consider the desingularized fiber product $Y = R \times_C X$. If $f|_R$ is unramified then the map $Y \to X$ is étale. This implies that the universal cover of Y is the universal cover of X. We know the conjecture to be true for Y and hence it is true for X.

Now suppose that $f|_R$ is ramified. Then the map $Y \to X$ is of degree 2, hence $\pi_1(Y) \to \pi_1(X)$ is either an injection with image of index 2, or $\pi_1(X) \cong \Gamma_1 \times \pi_1(E)$ such that there is a surjection $\pi_1(R) \to \Gamma_1$.

In the first case, using the holomorphic convexity of the universal cover of Y we deduce that for the universal cover of X.

In the second case, pull-back of the universal cover $\tilde{X}$ of X to Y is of the form $U \times \mathbb{C}$, where $U \to R$ is a suitable cover.
Since any Riemann surface is holomorphically convex we again deduce that $\tilde{X}$ is holomorphically convex.

Case 1. *R is irreducible and a cross-section.*

We have shown that this case cannot occur.

This completes the proof of Corollary 1 mentioned in the introduction.

In [12] the author proves this result using powerful analytic methods. We believe that our proof, which describes the fundamental group of X explicitly, sheds more light on this result.

In [5] the following result is proved.

If X is a smooth projective surface for which the Shafarevich Conjecture is true then $\pi_2(X)$ is a free abelian group.

Hence we get the Corollary 2 in the introduction as a consequence of our Theorem.

REFERENCES

[1] S. Abhyankar, *Tame Coverings and Fundamental Groups of Algebraic Varieties*, American Journal of Mathematics, **82** (1960), p.179-189.

[2] L. Bers, *Simultaneous uniformization*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., **66** (1960), 94-97.

[3] O. Debarre, *Inégalités Numériques Pour Les Surfaces de Type Général*, Appendice par A. Beauville, , Bull. Soc. Math. France, **110** (1982), p. 319-346

[4] C. Chevalley , *Invariants of finite groups generated by reflections*, Amer. J. Math., **77** (1955), 778-782.

[5] R.V. Gurjar, *Two remarks on the topology of projective surfaces.*, Math. Annalen., **328** (2004),701-706.

[6] Phillip Griffith, *Some results is local rings on ramification in low codimension*, Journal of Algebra, **137**, No.2, (1991), 473-490.

[7] R.V. Gurjar and B.P. Purnaprajna, *On the Shafarevich Conjecture for genus-2 fibrations*. Math. Annalen, **343**, Number 4, (2008), 791-800

[8] R.V. Gurjar, S. Paul, and B.P. Purnaprajna, *On the fundamental group of hyper-elliptic fibrations and some applications*, To appear, Inventiones Math.

[9] R.V. Gurjar and A.R. Shastri, *Covering spaces of elliptic surfaces*, Compositio Math., **54** (1985), 95-104.

[10] G. Karadoğan, *Moduli of surfaces admitting genus two fibrations over elliptic curves*, Ph.D. thesis, Middle East Technical University (2005).

[11] Y. Namikawa and K. Ueno, *The complete classification of fibers in pencils of curves of genus two*, Manuscripta Math., **9**, No. 2, (1973), 143-186.

[12] T. Napier, *Convexity properties of coverings of smooth projective varieties*, Math. Annalen, **286** (1-3) (1990), 433-479.

[13] R. Narasimhan, Imbedding of open Riemann surfaces, Nachr. Akad. Wiss. Göttingen Math.-Phys., Kl, II **1960**, 1960, 159-165.

[14] A.P. Ogg, *On pencils of curves of genus two.* Topology, **5** (1975), p.355-362.

[15] R. Remmert and K. Stein, *Eigentliche holomorphe Abbildungen*, Math. Zeit., **73** (1960), 159-189.

[16] I.R. Shafarevich, *Basic Algebraic Geometry*, Springer-Verlag 1974.

[17] G.Xiao, *π_1 of elliptic and hyperelliptic surfaces*, Inter. J. Math., **2**, No. 5, (1991), 599-615.

R.V. Gurjar, School of Mathematics, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Homi-Bhabha Road, Mumbai-400005, India.
e-mail: gurjar@math.tifr.res.in

Sagar Kolte, School of Mathematics, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Homi-Bhabha Road, Mumbai-400005, India.
e-mail: sagar@math.tifr.res.in